

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,309	01/16/2002	Sergey N. Razumov	59036-028 5567	
7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER FADOK, MARK A		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY				
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 01 (C AL						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/046,309	RAZUMOV, SERGEY N.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Fadok	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•, .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Other:							

Art Unit: 3625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-36, drawn to a system for preventing unauthorized access to products in a retail system, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- Claims 37-48, drawn to a facility for selling goods, classified in class 186, subclass 53.
- III. Claims 49-54, drawn to a computer implemented method for selling goods, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- IV. Claims 55-57, drawn to a method for monitoring pick up points, classified in class 186, subclass 53.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because apparatus of claim 37 does not require the specific tracking requirements of invention I. The subcombination has separate utility such as defining the facility pick up points.

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case invention III does not require all the functionality of the system of Group I and may be done by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case invention IV does not require all the functionality of the system of Group I and may be done by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case invention IV does not require all the functionality of the system of Group I and may be done by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case invention IV does not require all the functionality of the system of Group I and may be done by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention IV defines the particulars of the pickup point and Invention III has to do with tracking the package. The subcombination has separate utility such as monitoring the pickup points.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group IA - 1,2

Group IB - 1,3,4,5,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,34,35,36

Group IC - 1,3,4,5,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,33,34,35,36

Group ID - 1,3,4,6,7,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,34,35,36

Application/Control Number: 10/046,309

Art Unit: 3625

Group IE - 1,3,4,6,7,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,33,34,35,36

Group IF - 1,3,4,8,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,34,35,36

Group IG – 1,3,4,8,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,33,34,35,36

Group IH – 1,3,4,9,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,34,35,36

Group IJ – 1,3,4,9,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,33,34,35,36

Group IK - 1,3,4,10,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,34,35,36

Group IL - 1,3,4,10,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,33,34,35,36

Group IM - 1,3,4,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,

32,34,35,36

Group IN - 1,3,4,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,

32,34,35,36

Group IO - 1,3,4,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,

33,34,35,36

Group IP - 1,3,4,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,

33,34,35,36

Group IIA – 37,38

Group IIB - 37,39,40,41

Group IIC - 37,42,43,44

Group IID – 37,45

Group IIE – 37,46

Group IIF - 37,47

Application/Control Number: 10/046,309

Art Unit: 3625

Group IIG - 37,48

Group IIIA – 49,50

Group IIIA - 49,51,52,53,54

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1,37,49 generic.

Page 7

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/046,309

Art Unit: 3625

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on **(703) 308-1344**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Art Unit: 3625

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok

Patent Examiner